

Report

Introduction


The WP2 WS2 and the 2nd Consortium Meeting took place on 11th-13th July 2016 at the Ludwigsburg University of Education, in Ludwigsburg, in Germany.

There were 31 attendees.

The University of Montpellier has collected 26 “Before workshop surveys” and 25 “After workshop surveys”. More than 50% of attendees have completed the surveys, thus this report expresses the point of view of the majority of participants.


I. Before – After workshop surveys comparison

Most of the questions in the Before and After workshop surveys were similar in order to be able to evaluate the evolution due to the meeting execution.




The majority of attendees who have completed the questionnaires before and after the workshop is satisfied or very satisfied regarding the workshop preparation and execution. In addition, it appears that after the workshop, the percentage of people very dissatisfied is smaller than before the workshop (from 8% to 4%) and the percentage of people very satisfied is more than 2 times larger than before the workshop (from 27% to 68%). **This event is a great success for the project.**


This report will try to identify all the appreciated aspects of this event and to analyze the suggestions that can be drawn to make the next workshops and consortium meetings such appreciated events.




The information given to the attendees immediately before and during the workshop was considered very helpful for the majority of participants who have completed the questionnaires.




The majority of participants found that the quality of the workshop organization was above average and that the workshop realization was excellent.




The agenda of the workshop was known by everyone before the workshop and most of people considered above average this agenda before the workshop. A small percentage of the attendees (4%) judged below average the agenda before the workshop. After the workshop, none of the participants considered below average the planned content of the workshop. This means that the Workshop leader has convinced all the participants that the working program was relevant.






The majority of participants of the workshop founded above average the time allocated to each topic. A comment is given in the evaluation: "I would like to have more time for team building". More time for team building is demanded. This can be done during the day spent in working group sessions like game-based education.









The majority of participants considered very productive the WP2 WS2 and the 2nd consortium meeting. A comment is given in the evaluation: “not enough time to effectively work together or to discuss about some topics”.





The majority of participants considered very important the WP2 WS2 and the 2nd Consortium Meeting in the project before the workshop, and even more after the workshop.











Commentary in the evaluation concerning WP10: project management, next steps: “I don’t have the necessary professional knowledge”. This means that someone in the consortium has a little project management knowledge, thus the project manager should adapt her management instructions and presentation to ensure that everyone understand them.






Commentary in the evaluation: “More focused on WP than on the venue. I am not involved so much in the work of the WPs in the project.” It is difficult to understand this comment.


7) Preparation:

BEFORE: Do WP 2 WS 2 and 2nd Consortium Meeting purposes and goals are clearly defined and indicated?

AFTER: Improvements for the next workshop/meeting/milestone: A list of actions and target date is defined. Everyone knows what their indi



9) Do the 2nd Consortium Meeting/ WP2 WS 2 objectives are achieved?



All the participants who have completed the questionnaires think that the objectives of the workshop are achieved or fully achieved. It is a unanimous though after the workshop.

II. **Others questions**

In the after workshop survey, some specific questions were asked to better understand possible discrepancies observed before and after the meeting.


8) Do you have any suggestions or comments?

BEFORE workshop: none

AFTER workshop:

- “Please allocate more time to work on course design and to discuss technical aspects of the platform”.

1B) Presentations: how satisfied are you with the overall quality of presentations/working group sessions?



Most of participants are satisfied by the quality of the presentations.

Suggestions for the next workshop:



WP2 WS2 AND 2ND CONSORTIUM MEETING IN LUDWIGSBURG EVALUATION – JULY 2016

First of all, it appears that it could be good to dedicate enough time to effectively work together or to discuss together about some topics in order to share the necessary professional knowledge.

Then, it could be interesting to allocate more time to work on course design and to discuss technical aspects of the platform.

Finally, whenever possible, it appears interesting to involve every partner in the work of the WPs of the project.