

Report

Introduction


The WP1 Workshop took place on 20th-21th January 2016 at the Warsaw University of Technology, in Poland.

At the WP1 Workshop there were about 20 attendees.

The University of Montpellier has collected 13 “Before workshop surveys” and 16 “After workshop surveys”. More than 50% of attendees have completed the surveys, thus this report expresses the point of view of the majority of participants.


Before-After workshop surveys comparison

Most of the questions in the Before and After workshop surveys were similar in order to be able to evaluate the evolution due to the workshop execution.




It appears that after the workshop, most participants appear satisfied, although some participants did not appear satisfied. This report will try to analyze the suggestions that could improve the next workshop.

WP1 WORKSHOP IN WARSAW EVALUATION – JANUARY 2016




The practical information given to the attendees prior to the workshop was helpful, and the information given during the workshop was even more helpful.




The technical information given to the attendees prior to the workshop was helpful, but the information given during the workshop was more helpful.

WP1 WORKSHOP IN WARSAW EVALUATION – JANUARY 2016




The quality of the workshop was above average for the majority of the participants. However, the organization rate is higher before than after the Workshop. Next surveys will try to understand what can be improved in the next workshops organization.




The agenda of the workshop was known by everyone before the workshop, it was accepted and agreed by everyone and most of people appreciated this agenda.

WP1 WORKSHOP IN WARSAW EVALUATION – JANUARY 2016




It appears that it could be good to improve the time for interactions in the next workshop agenda.




It clearly appears that all the attendees consider significant their participation at the WP1 Workshop.

WP1 WORKSHOP IN WARSAW EVALUATION – JANUARY 2016




Most of participants considered very interesting the presentation “Quality in internationalization as a key-importance factor of maturity”.




Most of participants considered very interesting the presentation “Technology in internationalization process” before the workshop; however, it appears that the presentation was below expectations.


WP1 WORKSHOP IN WARSAW EVALUATION – JANUARY 2016



Most of participants considered very interesting the presentation “University-Business cooperation: case of WUT” before the workshop, however, it appears that the presentation was well below expectations.




Most of participants considered interesting the presentation “Assessment system developed at WUT practical aspects and recommendations” both before and after the presentation




Some people are dissatisfied by the working session 1 and 2, next survey will try to identify the possible cause(s) of the dissatisfaction: methodology, approach, tools, management...?


WP1 WORKSHOP IN WARSAW EVALUATION – JANUARY 2016




The expectation levels are quiet identical before and after the workshop; it could be nice to remind briefly the document attendees need to read before the workshop.



All the technical instructions are clear for most of participants before the Workshop. It could be nice to remind briefly the technical instructions attendees need to read before the workshop.




It appears that it would be better to specify to speakers what is expected from them.



It appears that it would be better to specify to Moderators what is expected from them.

WP1 WORKSHOP IN WARSAW EVALUATION – JANUARY 2016




It appears that most of attendees know their individual and group next steps.



Most of people think that goals are clearly defined and that the workshop objectives are achieved after the workshop; however some participants considered that goals are not achieved.

Other questions

In the after workshop survey, some specific questions were asked to better understand possible discrepancies observed before and after the meeting.




Whenever possible, the project coordinator should send the attendee list before the workshop.

9) Please list suggested social activity you would like to do at the next workshop

- “More practical lessons”
- “A talk about local culture”
- “Sightseeing”
- “Go to the beach”
- “Nightlife”

10) Do you have any suggestions or comments about ways in which we can make workshop more productive?

- “Round tables, personal (institutional) advise”
- “More discussions”
- “Like we did in Warsaw. Small meeting work together”
- “More group discussions”
- “The collective feedback sessions were not useful however the small workgroups were very helpful”
- “One more day”
- “Work in small groups”



Most of people are satisfied by the productiveness of the workshop

Suggestions for the next workshop:

First of all, it appears that it could be good to improve the time for interactions in the next workshop agenda.

Then, it could be interesting to remind briefly the document and the technical instructions attendees need to read before the workshop.

In addition, it appears that it would be better to specify to speakers and moderators what is expected from them.

Finally, whenever possible, the project coordinator should send the attendee list before the workshop.