

Report

Introduction


The WP1 Workshop took place on 8th-10th March 2016 in the University of Montpellier, France.

At the workshop, there were about 26 attendees.

The University of Montpellier has collected 13 “Before workshop surveys” and 19 “After workshop surveys”.


I. Before – After workshop surveys comparison

Most of questions in the Before and After workshop surveys were similar in order to be able to evaluate the evolution due to the meeting execution.




It appears that after the workshop, less people are very dissatisfied (from 15% to 0%), and more are Satisfied or Very satisfied.

This report will try to analyze the suggestions that could improve the next workshops.




The practical information given to the attendees prior to the workshop was considered very helpful for the majority of participants, and the information given during the workshop was considered helpful for everybody.




The technical information given to the attendees during the workshop was considered helpful for the majority of participants.

It will be convenient to better explain the difference between technical and practical information in the next surveys.

WP1 WORKSHOP IN MONTPELLIER EVALUATION – MARCH 2016




The quality of the workshop preparation/execution was considered average for the majority of participants after the workshop.




The agenda of the workshop was known by everyone before the workshop, it was accepted and agreed by everyone and most of people appreciated this agenda.



WP1 WORKSHOP IN MONTPELLIER EVALUATION – MARCH 2016




The time for interactions was considered above average for the majority of participants at the workshop.




The workshop was considered productive for the majority of participants.

WP1 WORKSHOP IN MONTPELLIER EVALUATION – MARCH 2016





It clearly appears that the majority of participants considered very significant the WP1 Workshop in Montpellier in the project.






WP1 WORKSHOP IN MONTPELLIER EVALUATION – MARCH 2016








WP1 WORKSHOP IN MONTPELLIER EVALUATION – MARCH 2016

6A) Interest: [Case study on internationalization strategy monitoring and assessment]





6B) Interest: [Roundtable on methodology of self-assessments and methodological recommendations]









WP1 WORKSHOP IN MONTPELLIER EVALUATION – MARCH 2016





WP1 WORKSHOP IN MONTPELLIER EVALUATION – MARCH 2016





The expectation levels are quite identical before and after, it would be good to briefly present the expectation at the beginning of the meeting to increase the number of “Yes” answer.



Most of people think that goals are achieved or fully achieved. It is a unanimous though after the workshop.



II. Others questions

In the after workshop survey, some specific questions were asked to better understand possible discrepancies observed before and after the meeting.

10) Do you have any suggestions or comments?

BEFORE workshop:



- A not applicable option should be included (instead of using option 3)
- Good idea to have a dinner on the first day

AFTER workshop:

- Faster moving, less "sightseeing of the universities, more practical
- Two work days are enough
- Stick to the schedule. More working time less presentations
- One place (campus) for the meetings
- Should have feedback on accommodation, transportation, etc.




1B) Presentations: how satisfied are you with the overall quality of presentation?




WP1 WORKSHOP IN MONTPELLIER EVALUATION – MARCH 2016



1B) Satisfaction: How satisfied are you with the overall quality of presentations/working group sessions?
[Selection of indicators and qualitative measures by the Colleges]



1B) Satisfaction: How satisfied are you with the overall quality of presentations/working group sessions?
[Design of self-assessment prototype tool by Colleges -based on the list of selected indicators and qualitative measures]



WP1 WORKSHOP IN MONTPELLIER EVALUATION – MARCH 2016



Most of people are satisfied by the quality of presentations.