

## Report

### Introduction


The IN2IT WP5 training session on Academy-Industry/community Cooperation took place on 12<sup>th</sup>-14<sup>th</sup> September 2016 in Kingston University and in Brunel University, in London, in the United Kingdom.

There were 27 attendees.

The University of Montpellier has collected 15 “Before workshop surveys” and 17 “After workshop surveys”. More than 50% of attendees have completed the surveys, thus this report expresses the point of view of the majority of participants.

### I. Before – After workshop surveys comparison


Most of the questions in the Before and After workshop surveys were similar in order to be able to evaluate the evolution due to the meeting execution.




The majority of attendees who have completed the questionnaires before and after the workshop is satisfied regarding the WP5 TS preparation and very satisfied regarding the WP5 TS execution. It appears that after the workshop, the percentage of people very dissatisfied is bigger than before the workshop (from 13% to 18%) and the percentage of people very satisfied is about 2 times larger than before the workshop (from 27% to 53%).

This report will try to identify the suggestions that can be drawn for the next workshops.

WP5 TS IN LONDON EVALUATION – SEPTEMBER 2016




The information given to the attendees immediately before the WP5 TS was considered somewhat helpful and the information given during the WP5 TS was judged very helpful for the majority of participants who have completed the questionnaires.




The majority of participants found that the quality of the WP5 TS organization was above average before the training session. In the questionnaire after the workshop, the percentage of participants who judged excellent the organization is bigger than before the workshop (from 33% to 47%) but at the same time 6% of participants considered poor the quality of the organization.

WP5 TS IN LONDON EVALUATION – SEPTEMBER 2016




The agenda of the WP5 TS was known by everyone before the workshop and most of people considered above average this agenda before the workshop. After the workshop, a small percentage of participants (6%) found below average the planned content of WP5.




The majority of participants of the WP5 TS found above average the time allocated to each topic before the workshop. After the WP5 TS, the time allocated to each topic was judged average/above average.

WP5 TS IN LONDON EVALUATION – SEPTEMBER 2016






The majority of participants considered very productive the WP5 TS. On the other hand, 12% of participants who completed the questionnaires judged the WP5 TS somewhat productive. A comment is given in the evaluation: “Not enough time for teamwork”.



After the WP5 TS, the majority of participants considered very important this training session in the project.






WP5 TS IN LONDON EVALUATION – SEPTEMBER 2016








WP5 TS IN LONDON EVALUATION – SEPTEMBER 2016








WP5 TS IN LONDON EVALUATION – SEPTEMBER 2016








WP5 TS IN LONDON EVALUATION – SEPTEMBER 2016









WP5 TS IN LONDON EVALUATION – SEPTEMBER 2016






WP5 TS IN LONDON EVALUATION – SEPTEMBER 2016



A commentary is given in the after WP5 TS evaluation: “the program was unclear”.



All the participants who have completed the questionnaires think that the objectives of the WP5 TS are achieved or fully achieved. It is a unanimous though after the WP5 TS.

II. Others questions

In the after workshop survey, some specific questions were asked to better understand possible discrepancies observed before and after the meeting.

8) Do you have any suggestions or comments?

BEFORE workshop:


- “Info in how to reach the meeting venue and the hotel from London airports by public transportation are missing”

AFTER workshop:

- “It would be good to have all team members present at all meetings”.
- “More time for workgroup session. We underestimate the value and set up time for a group to establish an effective communication. We need to devote time for that”.

WP5 TS IN LONDON EVALUATION – SEPTEMBER 2016

**1B) Presentations: how satisfied are you with the overall quality of presentations/working group sessions?**



Most of participants are satisfied by the quality of the presentations.

**Suggestions for the next workshop:**

First of all, it appears that it could be good to allocate more time for teamwork.

Then, it could be interesting to clearly detail how to reach the meeting venue by public transportation.

Finally, whenever possible, it appears interesting to have all team members present at all meetings.