

IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018

Report

Introduction


The IN2IT National Conference & Workshops on Global Academic Partnerships took place on 8-9 May 2018 in the Beit Berl College, Israel.

At the conference, there were 30 participants from IN2IT project.

The University of Montpellier has collected 20 responses to the “Before conference surveys” and 14 responses to the “After conference surveys”.


I. Before – After conference surveys comparison

Most of questions in the Before and After conference surveys were similar in order to be able to evaluate the evolution due to the conference execution.




The majority of attendees who have completed the questionnaires before and after the conference are satisfied regarding the conference preparation and execution (more than 90%). It appears that after the conference, the percentage of people dissatisfied is a little bigger than before the conference (7,1% compared to 5,0%).

**IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018**




The information given to the attendees immediately before and during the conference was considered very helpful by the majority of participants who have completed the questionnaires.




The quality of the IN2IT National Conference & Workshops on Global Academic Partnerships organization/realization was considered excellent by the majority of participants to the meeting.

**IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018**




The agenda of the the IN2IT National Conference & Workshops on Global Academic Partnerships was known by everyone before the meeting and most of people appreciated this agenda before the meeting. After the meeting, 14,3% of attendees who have completed the questionnaire judged the planned content above average.




The time for interactions was considered above average for the majority of participants of the conference.

**IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018**





The IN2IT National Conference & Workshops on Global Academic Partnerships was considered productive for the majority of participants who completed the surveys.





The majority of participants considered very significant the IN2IT National Conference & Workshops on Global Academic Partnerships in the project.



**IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018**





IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018





**IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018**




**IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018**





IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018



**IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018**



IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018




The majority of participants who have completed the questionnaires think that the objectives of the conference are achieved.

II. Others questions

In the after conference survey, some specific questions were asked to better understand possible discrepancies observed before and after the conference.

IN2IT NATIONAL CONFERENCE & WORKSHOPS ON GLOBAL ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIPS EVALUATION
MAY 2018

1B) Presentations: how satisfied are you with the overall quality of working sessions



Most of participants are satisfied by the quality of the working sessions.

We have not received any suggestions or comments

Suggestions and recommendations for improvement

In general, the satisfaction level is very high and most of participants are satisfied by the quality of the meeting.

It could be good to check that everybody knows individual and group next steps in the project.